

# 1 Binary Reed-Muller codes

The other widely used class of codes, *Reed-Muller codes* can also be interpreted similarly to Reed-Solomon codes. In this description multilinear functions in several variables are evaluated at some points (in the binary case on the points of a hypercube).

**Definition 1.1** Let  $H = \{0, 1\}^m$  be the  $m$ -dimensional hypercube,  $F = \text{GF}(2)$ ,  $V : F[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow F^H$ , which maps a polynomial  $F$  to the vector of its values on the points of the hypercube. Let

$$\text{RM}_{m,k} = \{V(f) : \deg f \leq k, \deg_{x_i} f \leq 1\}.$$

*This code is called the binary Reed-Muller code of order  $k$ .*

In the definition of Reed-Muller codes only multilinear polynomials were evaluated. This is enough since only the values 0, 1 are substituted. It is easy to show that  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  is linear and has dimension  $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{m}{i}$ . To see this, note that the multilinear monomials  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$  are independent. Clearly, any multilinear polynomial is the sum of monomials and the vector space of functions  $H \rightarrow K$  has dimension  $2^m$ . The functions which are 1 in just one vertex of the hypercube and 0 elsewhere generate this vector space and such a function can be written as a multilinear polynomial. As the total number of monomials is also  $2^m$ , they form a basis of this vector space. This implies that  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  has dimension  $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{m}{i}$ , since the monomials generating it are independent. Also the minimum distance of Reed-Muller codes can be determined relatively easily.

**Proposition 1.2** *The minimum distance of  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  is  $2^{m-k}$ .*

**Proof.** On the one hand, the weight of  $V(x_1 \cdots x_k)$  is exactly  $2^{m-k}$ . We have to show that there are no codewords of smaller weight. This is done by a simultaneous induction on  $k$  and  $m$ . For  $k = 0$  and any  $m$ , the minimum weight is  $2^m$ . Assume that we already know the assertion when either the degree is less than  $k$  or the number of variables is less than  $m$ . Let  $f$  be a multilinear polynomial of degree  $k$  and write it as  $f = x_m g(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) + h(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ , where  $\deg g \leq k - 1$ ,  $\deg h \leq k$ . If  $h = 0$ , then  $V(f) = 1$  if and only if  $x_m = 1$  and  $V(g) = 1$ . As  $V(g)$  is a codeword of  $\text{RM}_{m-1, k-1}$ ,

our induction assumption gives that the weight of  $V(g)$ , and hence that of  $V(f)$ , is at least  $2^{m-k}$ . The situation is similar if  $g + h = 0$ . In this case  $f = (x_m + 1)g$  and we can copy the previous induction argument. Let us now substitute  $x_m = 0$  in  $f$ . By induction,  $V(h)$  has weight at least  $2^{m-1-k}$ , so we see at least this many coordinates of  $V(f)$ , where the value is 1 and  $x_m = 0$  for these coordinates. If we now substitute  $x_m = 1$ , the argument can be copied and again we see at least  $2^{m-1-k}$  coordinates of  $V(f)$ , where the value is 1 and  $x_m = 1$  for these coordinates. In total, the weight of  $V(f)$  is at least  $2^{m-1-k} + 2^{m-1-k} = 2^{m-k}$ , as we had to prove. ■

The previous remarks and propositions show that the parameters of  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  are the following:

$$[n = 2^m, \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{m}{i}, d = 2^{m-k}].$$

**Example 1.3** Let the points of the affine space  $\text{AG}(m, 2) = \mathcal{A}$  be  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{2^m}$ . Identify a set  $M$  of points of  $\mathcal{A}$  by its characteristic vector  $\chi(M)$ , so let

$$\chi(M) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2^m}) \text{ where } a_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_i \in M, \\ 0, & \text{if } P_i \notin M. \end{cases}$$

Let us define a linear code  $C$  generated by the characteristic vectors of  $(m-k)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that the characteristic vector of a subspace of dimension at least  $m-k$  is a linear combination of characteristic vectors of subspaces of dimension exactly  $m-k$ , since an affine subspace of dimension  $m-k+1$  is the disjoint union of two subspaces of dimension  $m-k$ .

This is an alternative, geometric description of  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  as the next proposition shows.

**Proposition 1.4** *Let  $C$  be the linear code defined in the previous example. Then it is the binary Reed-Muller code  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$ .*

**Proof.** Consider a subspace  $S$  of dimension at least  $m-k$ . It is the intersection of at most  $k$  (say  $s$ ) hyperplanes. The equation of such a hyperplane  $H_i$  is a linear polynomial

$$a_{i,1}X_1 + a_{i,2}X_2 + \dots + a_{i,m}X_m + a_{i,m+1} = 0.$$

Let  $f$  denote the product of the equations

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (a_{i,1}X_1 + a_{i,2}X_2 + \dots + a_{i,m}X_m + a_{i,m+1} + 1).$$

It is a polynomial of degree at most  $k$  and the characteristic vector of  $S$  is just the vector  $V(f)$ . This shows that the code  $C$  is contained in the Reed-Muller code  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$ . Conversely,  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  is generated by the vectors  $V(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s})$ , where  $s \leq k$ , and all these vectors are characteristic vectors of subspaces of dimension at least  $m - k$ . Hence the two codes coincide. ■

Finally, we prove that the duals of RM codes are also RM codes.

**Theorem 1.5**  $\text{RM}_{m,k}^\perp = \text{RM}_{m,m-k-1}$ .

**Proof.** We have to prove that the elements of the bases of the two code  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  and  $\text{RM}_{m,m-k-1}$  are orthogonal. In other words, take  $V(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s})$ , for some  $s \leq k$  and an  $V(x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t})$  for some  $t \leq m - k - 1$  and we want to prove that the scalar product of these two vectors is 0. So, we have to find how many vertices the hypercube  $\{0, 1\}^m$  has, where both functions  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s}$  and  $x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t}$  take the value 1. This means that the corresponding coordinates of the vertex are 1. If the union  $\{x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s}\} \cup \{x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t}\}$  has  $z$  elements, then these  $z$  coordinates of the vertices of the hypercube are fixed, the remaining coordinates can be arbitrary, so there are  $2^{m-z}$  such vertices. If  $z \leq m - 1$ , then this is an even number and the two vectors are orthogonal. The two subsets (of the  $i$ -s and the  $j$ -s) have size  $s, t$ , so their union has at most  $s + t \leq k + m - k - 1 = m - 1$  elements indeed. ■

We also give a 2nd proof using the geometric description of codewords as characteristic vectors of subspaces. The code  $\text{RM}_{m,k}$  is generated by characteristic vectors of  $(m - k)$ -dim. affin subspaces,  $\text{RM}_{m,m-k-1}$  by char. vectors of  $(k + 1)$ -dim. subspaces. If the two subspaces are disjoint, then the char. vectors are orthogonal. If they are not disjoint, then they intersect in an affine subspace of dimension  $t \geq 1$ . So, there are  $2^t$  coordinates in which both char. vectors are 1, which implies that they are orthogonal.

Our favourite Hamming codes can also be obtained from Reed-Muller codes. Consider  $\text{RM}_{m,m-2}$ . This is a  $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - m, 4]$  code. If we puncture it (delete a coordinate), then we get a  $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - m, 3]$  code, which is  $\text{Ham}(m)$ .

Let us remark that the Mariner 9 mission used the code  $RM_{5,1}$ , which is a  $[32, 6, 16]$  code.