

BCH codes

Let us first define subcodes over subfields. In this setting we have a large field $\text{GF}(q^m)$, and a (linear) code C over $\text{GF}(q^m)$. We want to get a code over $\text{GF}(q)$, using C . There are two natural ways to get such a code. First of all, the elements of $\text{GF}(q^m)$ can be represented as vectors of length m over $\text{GF}(q)$ (just write each element as a linear combination in a fixed basis). If C has parameters $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$, then with this idea we get a $[nm, km, \geq d]_q$ code. The disadvantage is that the minimum distance stays the same. This idea is used in practice to design codes which can correct long *burst errors*. Namely, if we have a burst error of length $h \leq (d - 1)m + 1$ (that is h consecutive errors), then it only affects at most d (consecutive) coordinates in the original code C , so it can be corrected.

The other idea is the one we will consider in more details. Let us just consider those codewords of C , whose coordinates belong to $\text{GF}(q)$. This code is usually denoted as $C|_q$. Then the length does not increase, the minimum distance does not decrease, the only problematic parameter is the dimension. This can be estimated in the following way: let H be a parity check matrix of C . (So, the elements of H are from $\text{GF}(q^m)$.) Write the elements h_{ij} as a *column* vectors of length m (as before, we write the elements of $\text{GF}(q^m)$ in a fixed basis). This way, we get a matrix H^* , which has $m(n - k)$ rows and n columns. Note that the original H is a sort of parity check matrix for $C|_q$ in the sense that $cH^T = 0$, and this applies for the larger matrix H^* . The problem with H is that its elements do not belong to $\text{GF}(q)$, the problem with H^* is that its rows are not necessarily independent. The „real” parity check matrix is obtained from H^* by selecting a basis of the rows. This matrix will be denoted as H_q .

Definition. Let C be a $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$ code (over the field $\text{GF}(q^m)$). The *subfield subcode* $C|_q$ consists of all codewords of C , whose coordinates belong to $\text{GF}(q)$.

Proposition. Let C be a $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$ code (over the field $\text{GF}(q^m)$). The code $C|_q$ is an $[n, k_0, \geq d]_q$ code with $n - m(n - k) \leq k_0 \leq k$.

As we saw above, the parity check matrix H_q has at most $m(n - k)$ rows. This gives the lower bound. The upper bound comes from the fact that the above operations do not change the column rank (so, whenever a set of $n - k$ columns are independent in H , then the corresponding columns are independent in H_q).

To define the BCH codes we have to recall the definition of Reed-Solomon codes in the polynomial setting.

Definition. Let $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$ be a primitive n -th root of unity, $n|q-1$. Consider the polynomial $g^*(x) = (x - \alpha^t)(x - \alpha^{t+1}) \dots (x - \alpha^{t+n-k-1})$ for a fixed t . Then $C = \{g^*(x)f(x) : \deg(f) \leq k-1\}$ is called the narrow sense Reed-Solomon code in the polynomial setting. We remark that they have parameters $[n, k, n-k+1]_q$, since they are GRS codes.

To define the BCH (Bose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocquenheim) code we will consider the Reed-Solomon codes over a larger field $\text{GF}(q^m)$ and consider the subfield subcode over $\text{GF}(q)$.

Definition. Let q^m be such that $n|q^m-1$ and let $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q^m)$ be a primitive n -th root of unity. The BCH code $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,t,\delta}$ is the subfield subcode of the RS code over $\text{GF}(q^m)$ in the polynomial setting. More explicitly, let $g^*(x) = (x - \alpha^t)(x - \alpha^{t+1}) \dots (x - \alpha^{t+\delta-2})$ for a fixed t . Then

$$\text{BCH}_{\alpha,t,\delta} = \{f(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x] : \deg(f) \leq n-1, g(x)|f(x)\}.$$

The parameter δ is called the *designed distance* of the BCH code.

Note that the parameters of the RS code over $\text{GF}(q^m)$ are $[n, n-\delta+1, \delta]_{q^m}$. We just have to compare the value $\delta-2$ with $n-k-1$ in the above definition. This clearly implies that the BCH code has $d \geq \delta$. On the other hand, it is known (we will not show it) that $d \leq 2\delta$, so we essentially know the minimum distance.

Recall that one way to describe a cyclic code is to specify the roots (or some of the roots) of $g(x)$ in a field extension of $\text{GF}(q)$. If the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ are given, then $g(x)$ will be the least common multiple of the minimal polynomials of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$.

Proposition (BCH bound). *If α is a primitive n -th root of unity in $\text{GF}(q^m)$, and for the generator polynomial $g(x)$ of a cyclic code over $\text{GF}(q)$ we have that $\alpha^t, \alpha^{t+1}, \dots, \alpha^{t+\delta-2}$ are roots of $g(x)$, then the minimum distance of $C = (g(x))$ is at least δ .*

Roughly speaking, the narrow sense RS code defined over $\text{GF}(q^m)$ by α, t, δ contains the code C , so C is a subcode of the BCH code $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,t,\delta}$. The minimum distance of the BCH code is at least the designed distance δ .

In the definition of the BCH codes the polynomial $f(x)$ is over $\text{GF}(q)$, the polynomial $g^*(x)$ is defined over $\text{GF}(q^m)$, hence it would be natural to describe this divisibility over $\text{GF}(q)$. To do this, we have to recall the notion of minimal polynomial of an element α^i in $\text{GF}(q^m)$ over $\text{GF}(q)$. This is the polynomial $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$ of smallest degree, of which α^i is a root (that is, $m_{\alpha^i}(\alpha^i) = 0$). We have learnt that besides α^i , also $\alpha^{qi}, \alpha^{q^2i}, \dots$ are roots of $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$ an actually it is the product of $(x - \beta)$, where β runs through the algebraic conjugates of α^i . So, the generator polynomial of the BCH codes can be written in the following way: determine $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$ for $i = t, \dots, t+\delta-2$. Then $g(x)$ will be the least common multiple of these polynomials. Actually, we just have to ignore those $m_{\alpha^i}(x)$, which occur more than once in this list and take the product of the remaining ones.

This also shows how the dimension of BCH codes can be computed.

Proposition. *The dimension k of the code $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,t,\delta}$ is $n - \deg(g)$, where $g(x)$ is the least common multiple of the minimal polynomials $m_{\alpha^i}(x)$. In terms of δ , we have $n - m(\delta - 1) \leq k \leq n - (\delta - 1)$.*

Finally, our favourite code, the binary Hamming code can be obtained as a BCH code.

Proposition. *Let $n = 2^m - 1$ and let α be a primitive n -th root of unity in $\text{GF}(2^m)$. Then the BCH code defined by α , $t = 1$, and $\delta = 2$ is the (binary) Hamming code $\text{Ham}(m)$.*

Note that $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,1,2} = \text{BCH}_{\alpha,1,3}$, because not only α but also the element α^2 is automatically a root of $m_\alpha(x)$. Hence the minimum distance is at least 3. The dimension of the code is at least $n - m$. The Hamming bound gives that in both cases we must have equality, hence the parameters are the same as in case of $\text{Ham}(m)$. Since the code is linear, it is $\text{Ham}(m)$.

The alternative proof is that the parity check matrix (H above) is $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ if we consider the original RS code over $\text{GF}(2^m)$. The coordinates are just the non-zero elements (in a certain order). Therefore, expressing them over $\text{GF}(2)$ gives all the non-zero vectors of length m (the matrix H^* above). This is the parity check matrix of $\text{Ham}(m)$, in particular, the rows are independent and $H^* = H|q$.