

## BCH codes

Let us first define subcodes over subfields. In this setting we have a large field  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ , and a (linear) code  $C$  over  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ . We want to get a code over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , using  $C$ . There are two natural ways to get such a code. First of all, the elements of  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  can be represented as vectors of length  $m$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$  (just write each element as a linear combination in a fixed basis). If  $C$  has parameters  $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$ , then with this idea we get a  $[nm, km, \geq d]_q$  code. The disadvantage is that the minimum distance stays the same. This idea is used in practice to design codes which can correct long *burst errors*. Namely, if we have a burst error of length  $h \leq (d-1)m + 1$  (that is  $h$  consecutive errors), then it only affects at most  $d$  (consecutive) coordinates in the original code  $C$ , so it can be corrected.

The other idea is the one we will consider in more details. Let us just consider those codewords of  $C$ , whose coordinates belong to  $\text{GF}(q)$ . This code is usually denoted as  $C|_q$ . Then the length does not increase, the minimum distance does not decrease, the only problematic parameter is the dimension. This can be estimated in the following way: let  $H$  be a parity check matrix of  $C$ . (So, the elements of  $H$  are from  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ .) Write the elements  $h_{ij}$  as a column vectors of length  $m$  (as before, we write the elements of  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  in a fixed basis). This way, we get a matrix  $H^*$ , which has  $m(n-k)$  rows and  $n$  columns. Note that the original  $H$  is a sort of parity check matrix for  $C|_q$  in the sense that  $cH^T = 0$ , and this applies for the larger matrix  $H^*$ . The problem with  $H$  is that its elements do not belong to  $\text{GF}(q)$ , the problem with  $H^*$  is that its rows are not necessarily independent. The „real” parity check matrix is obtained from  $H^*$  by selecting a basis of the rows. This matrix will be denoted as  $H_q$ .

**Definition.** Let  $C$  be a  $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$  code (over the field  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ ). The *subfield subcode*  $C|_q$  consists of all codewords of  $C$ , whose coordinates belong to  $\text{GF}(q)$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $C$  be a  $[n, k, d]_{q^m}$  code (over the field  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ ). The code  $C|_q$  is an  $[n, k_0, \geq d]_q$  code with  $n - m(n-k) \leq k_0 \leq k$ .

As we saw above, the parity check matrix  $H_q$  has at most  $m(n-k)$  rows. This gives the lower bound. The upper bound comes from the fact that the above operations do not change the column rank (so, whenever a set of  $n-k$  columns are independent in  $H$ , then the corresponding columns are independent in  $H_q$ ).

To define the BCH codes we have to recall the definition of Reed-Solomon codes in the polynomial setting.

**Definition.** Let  $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity,  $n|q - 1$ . Consider the polynomial  $g^*(x) = (x - \alpha^t)(x - \alpha^{t+1}) \dots (x - \alpha^{t+n-k-1})$  for a fixed  $t$ . Then  $C = \{g^*(x)f(x) : \deg(f) \leq k-1\}$  is called the narrow sense Reed-Solomon code in the polynomial setting. We remark that they have parameters  $[n, k, n-k+1]_q$ , since they are GRS codes.

To define the BCH (Bose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocquenheim) code we will consider the Reed-Solomon codes over a larger field  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  and consider the subfield subcode over  $\text{GF}(q)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $q^m$  be such that  $n|q^m - 1$  and let  $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q^m)$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. The BCH code  $\text{BCH}_{\alpha, t, \delta}$  is the subfield subcode of the RS code over  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  in the polynomial setting. More explicitly, let  $g^*(x) = (x - \alpha^t)(x - \alpha^{t+1}) \dots (x - \alpha^{t+\delta-2})$  for a fixed  $t$ . Then

$$\text{BCH}_{\alpha, t, \delta} = \{f(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x] : \deg(f) \leq n-1, g(x)|f(x)\}.$$

The parameter  $\delta$  is called the *designed distance* of the BCH code.

Note that the parameters of the RS code over  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  are  $[n, n-\delta+1, \delta]_{q^m}$ . We just have to compare the value  $\delta - 2$  with  $n - k - 1$  in the above definition. This clearly implies that the BCH code has  $d \geq \delta$ . On the other hand, it is known (we will not show it) that  $d \leq 2\delta$ , so we essentially know the minimum distance.

Recall that one way to describe a cyclic code is to specify the roots (or some of the roots) of  $g(x)$  in a field extension of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . If the roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$  are given, then  $g(x)$  will be the least common multiple of the minimal polynomials of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ .

**Proposition** (BCH bound). *If  $\alpha$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ , and for the generator polynomial  $g(x)$  of a cyclic code over  $\text{GF}(q)$  we have that  $\alpha^t, \alpha^{t+1}, \dots, \alpha^{t+\delta-2}$  are roots of  $g(x)$ , then the minimum distance of  $C = (g(x))$  is at least  $\delta$ .*

Roughly speaking, the narrow sense RS code defined over  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  by  $\alpha, t, \delta$  contains the code  $C$ , so  $C$  is a subcode of the BCH code  $\text{BCH}_{\alpha, t, \delta}$ . The minimum distance of the BCH code is at least the designed distance  $\delta$ .

In the definition of the BCH codes the polynomial  $f(x)$  is over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , the polynomial  $g^*(x)$  is defined over  $\text{GF}(q^m)$ , hence it would be natural to describe this divisibility over  $\text{GF}(q)$ . To do this, we have to recall the notion of minimal polynomial of an element  $\alpha^i$  in  $\text{GF}(q^m)$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$ . This is the polynomial  $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$  of smallest degree, of which  $\alpha^i$  is a root (that is,  $m_{\alpha^i}(\alpha^i) = 0$ ). We have learnt that besides  $\alpha^i$ , also  $\alpha^{qi}, \alpha^{q^2 i}, \dots$  are roots of  $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$  actually it is the product of  $(x - \beta)$ , where  $\beta$  runs through the algebraic conjugates of  $\alpha^i$ . So, the generator polynomial of the BCH codes can be written in the following way: determine  $m_{\alpha^i}(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]$  for  $i = t, \dots, t+\delta-2$ . Then  $g(x)$  will be the least common multiple of these polynomials. Actually, we just have to ignore those  $m_{\alpha^i}(x)$ , which occur more than once in this list and take the product of the remaining ones.

This also shows how the dimension of BCH codes can be computed.

**Proposition.** *The dimension  $k$  of the code  $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,t,\delta}$  is  $n - \deg(g)$ , where  $g(x)$  is the least common multiple of the minimal polynomials  $m_{\alpha^i}(x)$ . In terms of  $\delta$ , we have  $n - m(\delta - 1) \leq k \leq n - (\delta - 1)$ .*

Finally, our favourite code, the binary Hamming code can be obtained as a BCH code.

**Proposition.** *Let  $n = 2^m - 1$  and let  $\alpha$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ . Then the BCH code defined by  $\alpha$ ,  $t = 1$ , and  $\delta = 2$  is the (binary) Hamming code  $\text{Ham}(m)$ .*

Note that  $\text{BCH}_{\alpha,1,2} = \text{BCH}_{\alpha,1,3}$ , because not only  $\alpha$  but also the element  $\alpha^2$  is automatically a root of  $m_\alpha(x)$ . Hence the minimum distance is at least 3. The dimension of the code is at least  $n - m$ . The Hamming bound gives that in both cases we must have equality, hence the parameters are the same as in case of  $\text{Ham}(m)$ . Since the code is linear, it is  $\text{Ham}(m)$ .

The alternative proof is that the parity check matrix ( $H$  above) is  $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$  if we consider the original RS code over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ . The coordinates are just the non-zero elements (in a certain order). Therefore, expressing them over  $\text{GF}(2)$  gives all the non-zero vectors of length  $m$  (the matrix  $H^*$  above). This is the parity check matrix of  $\text{Ham}(m)$ , in particular, the rows are independent and  $H^* = H|q$ .